



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO. | FIL | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|----------------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|---|
| 09/472,910 | 9/472,910 12/27/1999 | | MICHAEL C. G. LEE | 71493-639 | 9364 |
| 33000 | 7590 | 01/09/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| DOCKET C | | | | NGUYEN, O | ЭПА Н |
| P.O. DRAWER 800889 DALLAS, TX 75380 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | ,,,,,, | | | 2642 | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

DATE MAILED: 01/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action 09/47: Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief Exam

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------------|--|
| 09/472,910 | LEE, MICHAEL C. G. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Quynh H. Nguyen | 2642 | |

| before the Filling of all Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Quynh H. Nguyen | 2642 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of | date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CER 1 136(a). The date on |) . | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | |
| | nliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be | a filed within two mon | the of the date | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) | | jected claims. | • | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.7 | | ompliant Amandment | (DTOL 224) | | | | |
| _ | | omphant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling | | | | | | | |
| the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) | | rill be entered and an | explanation of | | | | |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | ovided below or appended. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: None. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-7 and 37-50</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other: | | | | | | | |
| WM/RED MATTER | | Quynh H. Nguyen | | | | | |
| AHMAD F. MATAR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2500 | ER | Tel:(571)-272-7489 | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Notice of Non-Compliant | 09/472,910 | Lee, Michael C.G. | | | | |
| Amendment (37 CFR 1.121) | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| , | Ruynh H. Nguyen | 2642 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the co | orrespondence address | | | | |
| The amendment document filed on $\frac{12/19/05}{19/05}$ is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required. | | | | | | |
| THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other | | | | | | |
| 2. Abstract:A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37B. Other | CFR 1.72. | · | | | | |
| 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identifie "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 C B. The practice of submitting proposed dr showing amended figures, without man C. Other | FR 1.121(d). awing correction has been elimin | ated. Replacement drawings | | | | |
| □ 4. Amendments to the claims: □ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is □ B. The listing of claims does not include the claim of each claim has not been provided with of each claim cannot be identified. Not number by using one of the following sometimes (Previously presented), (New), (Not enterpoly). □ D. The claims of this amendment paper head in E. Other: ★ Remarks Not Signed: For further explanation of the amendment format requires. | the text of all pending claims (incluing the proper status identifier, and a te: the status of every claim mustatus identifiers: (Original), (Currestered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawe not been presented in ascendance) | as such, the individual status t be indicated after its claim ently amended), (Canceled), wn-currently amended). ding numerical order. | | | | |
| nttp://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preogno | | THE GOLF TO WODONG AC | | | | |
| TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTIC | CE: | | | | | |
| Applicant is given no new time period if the non-cor filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted. | the non-compliant after-final ame | endment with corrections, the | | | | |
| Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, where corrected section of the non-compliant amendment amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amerequest for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendrenament. | in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 endment, a non-final amendment CFR 1.114), a supplemental amen | 1, if the non-compliant (including a submission for a idment filed within a suspension | | | | |
| Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR amendment or an amendment filed in response to | | amendment is a non-final | | | | |
| Failure to timely respond to this notice will result Abandonment of the application if the non-confiled in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-complianmendment. | mpliant amendment is a non-final | | | | | |
| Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE) | Т | Telephone No. | | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | Part of Paner No. | | | | |